

1 WALTER RILEY, SBN 95919
2 LAW OFFICE OF WALTER RILEY
3 1407 Webster Street, Suite 206
4 Oakland, CA 94612
5 Telephone: (510) 451-1422
6 Facsimile: (510) 451-0406
7 Email: walterriley@rrrandw.com

8 DAN SIEGEL, SBN 56400
9 SIEGEL, YEE, BRUNNER & MEHTA
10 475 14th Street, Suite 500
11 Oakland, California 94612
12 Telephone: (510) 839-1200
13 Facsimile: (510) 444-6698
14 Email: danmsiegel@gmail.com

15 JAMES DOUGLAS BURCH, SBN 293645
16 NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD
17 558 Capp Street
18 San Francisco, CA 94110
19 Telephone: (415) 285-5067 x.104
20 Email: james_burch@nlgsf.org

21 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
22 *Additional counsel on signature page*

23 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

24 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

25 ANTI POLICE-TERROR PROJECT,) Case No. 20-cv-03866-JCS
26 COMMUNITY READY CORPS, SEAN)
27 CANADAY, MICHAEL COHEN, MICHAEL) **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO**
28 COOPER, ANDREA COSTANZO,) **CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD**
1 JOHNATHAN FARMER, LINDSEY) **BE RELATED**
2 FILOWITZ, DANIELLE GAITO, KATIE)
3 JOHNSON, BRYANNA KELLY, JENNIFER)
4 LI, IAN McDONNELL, MELISSA MIYARA,)
5 LINDSEY MORRIS, LEILA MOTTLEY, NIKO)
6 NADA, AZIZE NGO, NICOLE PULLER,)
7 MARIA RAMIREZ, AKIL RILEY, AARON)
8 ROGACHEVSKY, TARA ROSE, ASHWIN)
9 RUPAN, DANIEL SANCHEZ, CHRISTINA)
10 STEWART, TAYAH STEWART, KATHERINE)
11 SUGRUE, CELESTE WONG, and QIAOCHU)
12 ZHANG; on behalf of themselves and similarly)
13 situated individuals,)
14)
15 Plaintiffs,)
16 vs.)
17)
18 CITY OF OAKLAND, OPD Police Chief SUSAN)
19 E. MANHEIMER, OPD Sergeant PATRICK)
20 GONZALES, OPD Officer MAXWELL D'ORSO)
21 and OPD Officer CASEY FOUGHT,)
22)
23 Defendants.)

TIMOTHY MICHAEL RYAN,) Case No. 4:22-cv-00521-DMR
Plaintiff,)
vs.) **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO**
CITY OF OAKLAND, ROLAND HOLMGREN,) **CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD**
and PATRICK GONZALES,) **BE RELATED**
Defendants.)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12, plaintiffs in *APTP et al. v. City of Oakland et al.*, 20-cv-03866-JCS submit this administrative motion to consider whether the newly filed matter *Ryan v. City of Oakland et al.*, No. 4:22-cv-00521-DMR should be related to *APTP et al. v. City of Oakland et al.*, 20-cv-03866-JCS.

A. Introduction

On June 1, 2020, Oakland Police Department officers deployed tear gas against peaceful demonstrators without warning, without lawful cause, and twenty minutes in advance of City wide curfew announced just hours before. In *APTP et al. v. City of Oakland et al.*, No. 20-cv-03866-JCS, community organizations Anti Police-Terror Project and Community Ready Corps and twenty-eight individual plaintiffs are seeking relief for the City of Oakland's unlawful deployment of tear gas over the course of four nights: May 29, 2020, May 30, 2020, May 31, 2020, and June 1, 2020. Plaintiff Timothy Michael Ryan in *Ryan v. City of Oakland et al.*, No. 4:22-cv-00521-DMR, was injured due to the City of Oakland's unlawful deployment of tear gas on June 1, 2020 and seeks relief for his injuries.

B. Whether the Actions Concern Substantially the Same Parties and Subject Matter.

The *APTP* and *Ryan* matters have two defendants in common: the City of Oakland and Sergeant Patrick Gonzales. The plaintiffs in both matters are represented by Siegel, Yee, Brunner & Mehta. The matters concern substantially the same subject matter—namely the use of tear gas against peaceful demonstrators on June 1, 2020. Siegel, Yee, Brunner & Mehta, counsel for plaintiffs in *APTP* also represent plaintiff in *Ryan*.

C. Whether Relating the Cases Would or Would not be Efficient for the Court and the Parties.

The cases address much of the same subject matter. However, *APTP* is in an advanced stage of litigation with discovery completed, summary judgment motions contemplated, and settlement discussions well under way. Currently, all dates in the case have been vacated, but plaintiffs expect to set the remaining dates—expert discovery deadlines, briefing schedule and motion hearing date for summary judgment and *Daubert* motions, pretrial conference, and trial—at the February 11, 2022 case management conference. Based on the date of service in *Ryan*, defendants' Answer is due March 28, 2022, and the initial case management conference is currently set for May 4, 2022 based on the date the complaint was filed. Accordingly, plaintiffs' counsel anticipates that trial in *APTP* will occur well before discovery will conclude in *Ryan*.

Dated: January 31, 2022

SIEGEL, YEE, BRUNNER & MEHTA

By: /s/ EmilyRose Johns
EmilyRose Johns

Attorneys for Plaintiff
TIMOTHY MICHAEL RYAN

ANNE BUTTERFIELD WEILLS, SBN 139845
JANE BRUNNER, SBN 135422
SONYA Z. MEHTA, SBN 294411
EMILY ROSE JOHNS, SBN 294319
ANDREW CHAN KIM, SBN 315331
SIEGEL, YEE, BRUNNER & MEHTA
475 14th Street, Suite 500
Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 839-1200
Facsimile: (510) 444-6698
Email: abweills@gmail.com;
janebrunner@hotmail.com;
sonyamehta@siegelyee.com;
emilyrose@siegelyee.com
chankim@siegelyee.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs